arXiv:1703.09102v1 [math.DS] 27 Mar 2017

On some planar Baumslag-Solitar actions

Juan Alonso, Nancy Guelman, Cristóbal Rivas and Juliana Xavier

Abstract

Let $BS(1,n) = \langle a, b : aba^{-1} = b^n \rangle$ be the solvable Baumslag-Solitar group, where $n \geq 2$. We study representations of $BS(1,n)$ by planar orientation preserving homeomorphisms with linear diagonalizable conjugating element. That is, we consider orientation preserving homeomorphisms $f, h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $hfh^{-1} = f^n$, for some $n \geq 2$ and we assume that h is a linear diagonalizable transformation. Assuming that f has bounded displacement, we give examples or prove rigidity theorems depending on the eigenvalues of h and give applications to toral homeomorphisms.

1 Introduction

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, the Baumslag-Solitar group $BS(m,n)$ is defined by the presentation $BS(m,n) = \langle a, b : ab^m a^{-1} = b^n \rangle$. These groups were introduced by Baumslag and Solitar in [BS] to provide the first examples of two generator non-Hopfian groups with a single defining relation. The groups BS$(1,n)$, $n \geq 2$, are the simplest examples of infinite non abelian solvable groups. The groups BS$(1,n)$ also provide examples of distortion elements, which are related to Zimmer's conjecture and dynamical aspects in general (see [FH] and [Z]).

Recently, interest has raised on understanding what dynamics are allowed for Baumslag-Solitar group actions on surfaces. J. Franks and M.Handel proved that on a surface S of genus greater than one, any distortion element in the group $\mathrm{Diff}_0^1(S, area)$ is a torsion element, and therefore there are not faithful representations of BS$(1,n)$ in $\mathrm{Diff}_0^1(S, area)$ ([FH]). N. Guelman and I. Liousse constructed a smooth $BS(1,n)$ action without finite orbits on $\mathbb{T}^2$ and proved it not to be locally rigid. Moreover, they proved that there are no minimal faithful topological actions of $BS(1,n)$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ ([GL1]). J.Alonso, N.Guelman and J.Xavier proved that there are no faithful representations of $BS(1,n)$ by surface homeomorphisms with the conjugating element a (pseudo)- Anosov map with stretch factor $\lambda > n$ and that there are no faithful representations of $BS(1,n)$ by torus homeomorphisms with the conjugating element an Anosov map and f area preserving ([AGX]). This last result also holds without the area preserving hypothesis if the action is C^1 ([GL2]). In the general scope, these works lie in the context of trying

to understand the nature of the obstructions to the existence of (faithful) group actions on certain phase spaces (a survey of these ideas can be found in [F]).

Throughout this paper we consider the two-dimensional plane as the phase space. We let $f, h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be orientation preserving homeomorphisms satisfying $hfh^{-1} = f^n$ for some $n \geq 2$. We compensate the lack of compactness by supposing that the distance from f to the identity map is uniformly bounded, that is, that there exists $K > 0$ such that $|f(x) - x| \leq K$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that this will always be the case if the map f is the lift of a surface map to its universal covering space.

Suppose that h is a linear transformation with associated matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

$\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, our results can be summarized as follows:

λ \ μ	$\mu < 1$	$1 < \mu \leq n$	$n < \mu$
$\lambda < 1$	No action: Theorem 4.3	Product action	No action: Lemma 4.2
$1 < \lambda \leq n$	Product action	Strange Rotation and Product action	Preserves horizontal foliation: Corollary 3.3. Product action.
$n < \lambda$	No action: Lemma 4.2	Preserves vertical foliation: Corollary 3.3. Product action.	No action: Lemma 4.1

That is, we give examples or prove rigidity theorems depending on the eigenvalues of h. We point out that all rigidity results carry on when h is diagonalizable instead of diagonal. Indeed, if we let $h_1 = AhA^{-1}$ be diagonal, and let $f_1 = AfA^{-1}$, then $h_1 f_1 h_1^{-1} = f_1^n$ and f_1 has bounded displacement.

2 Preliminaries

For the proof of our theorem we use results from surface homeomorphisms theory that we state in this section.

The following is the classic Brouwer's Theorem on planar homeomorphisms:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism which possesses a periodic point. Then f has a fixed point.*

The beautiful adaptation of Franks to periodic disk chains:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism which possesses a periodic disk chain. Then f has a fixed point.*

A *periodic disk chain* for f is a finite set $U_0, U_1, \dots U_{n-1}$ of pairwise disjoint topological disks such that $f(U_i) \cap U_i = \emptyset$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and such that for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ there exists m_i such that $f^{m_i}(U_i) \cap U_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ where the indexes are taken $\mod n$.

Let $f : A \to A$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism of the open annulus $A = S^1 \times (0,1)$, and $\tilde{f}$ a lift of f to the covering space $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)$. We will say that there is a *positively returning disk* for $\tilde{f}$ if there is an open disk $U \subset \tilde{A}$ such that $\tilde{f}(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $\tilde{f}^n(U) \cap (U + k) \neq \emptyset$ for some $n, k > O$ (here $U + k$ denotes the set $\{(x + k, t) : (x, t) \in U\}$. A negatively returning disk is defined similarly but with $k < 0$.

The following result is Theorem (2.1) in [F]:

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $f : A \to A$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of the open annulus which is homotopic to the identity, and satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *Every point of A is non-wandering.*
2. *f has at most finitely many fixed points.*
3. *There is a lift of f to its universal covering space $\tilde{f} : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}$, which possesses both a positively returning disk , which is a lift of a disk in A , and a negatively returning disk , which is a lift of a disk in A.*

Then, f has a fixed point.

The following theorem is Le Calvez's [LeC]:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : S^2 \to S^2$ be a non trivial orientation-preserving homemorphism with no wandering points. If f has at least three fixed points, then it has periodic points of arbitrarily large period.*

We say that a homeomorphism is *recurrent* if there exists a sequence n_k, $k \to \infty$, such that $d(f^{n_k}, \mathrm{Id}) \to 0$ uniformly. The previous theorem immediately gives:

Theorem 2.5. *A recurrent non trivial orientation-preserving homemorphism of the sphere S^2 has at most two fixed points.*

3 Basic computations

The following is an easy consequence of the group relation $hfh^{-1} = f^n$ that we will need later on.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f, h be such that $hfh^{-1} = f^n$. Then:*

1. *For all $m \geq 1$, $h^m f h^{-m} = f^{n^m}$;*
2. $h^{-1}(\mathrm{Fix}(f)) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(f)$

Fix an isotopy $(f_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ such that $f_0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $f_1 = f$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we denote by γ_x the arc $t \mapsto f_t(x), t \in [0,1]$. More generally, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $m \geq 1$ define $\gamma_x^m = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \gamma_{f^i(x)}$, where the product is concatenation of arcs.

Let ν be a signed measure defined on the set of arcs of $\mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying:

- $\nu(h(\gamma)) = a\nu(\gamma)$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $\nu(\beta.\gamma) = \nu(\beta) + \nu(\gamma)$,
- $\nu(\beta) = \nu(\gamma)$ if β and γ have the same endpoints,
- $x \to \nu(\gamma_x)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $a > n$, then $\nu(\gamma_x) \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Note that the arcs $h^m \gamma_{h^{-m}(x)}$ and $\gamma_x^{n^m}$ have the same endpoints by item 1. in Lemma 3.1. Therefore,

$$a^m \nu(\gamma_{h^{-m}(x)}) = \nu(h^m \gamma_{h^{-m}(x)}) = \nu(\gamma_x^{n^m}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n^m} \nu(\gamma_{f^i(x)}) \leq Cn^m,$$

where C is the bound for $x \to \nu(\gamma_x)$. So,

$$\nu(\gamma_{h^{-m}(x)}) \leq \left(\frac{n}{a}\right)^m C,$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. So, as the right term of the last equation is independent of x, if $a > n$ then we have that $\nu(\gamma_x) \equiv 0$.

□

Corollary 3.3. *If in addition ν is assumed to be a transverse measure for a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the plane, and $a > n$, then each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is f-invariant.*

Lemma 3.4. *For all $m \geq 1$, $\nu(\gamma_x^{n^m}) \leq Ca^m$. In particular, if $a < 1$, then $\lim_{m\to\infty} \nu(\gamma_x^{n^m}) = 0$ uniformly on x.*

Proof. As before,

$$\nu(\gamma_x^{n^m}) = a^m \nu(\gamma_{h^{-m}(x)}) \leq Ca^m \to_m 0.$$

□

4 Rigidity results

We devote this section to proving that there are no faithful representations of $BS(1,n) = \langle f, h \rangle$ by planar orientation preserving homeomorphisms with f having bounded displacement and h a linear diagonal transformation with real eigenvalues whenever:

1. both eigenvalues are strictly greater than n,
2. one eigenvalue is strictly greater than n and the other strictly less than 1,
3. both eigenvalues are strictly less than 1.

We show in the next section that examples can be constructed in any other case.

Observe that both signed measures dx and dy satisfy the conditions of Section 3 with $a = \mu$ or $a = \lambda$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\lambda > n$, and $\mu > n$ then $f = \mathrm{Id}$.*

Proof. Using Corollary 3.3 with $a = \lambda$ and $\nu = dx$, one obtains that each vertical line is preserved by f. So, each f-orbit is contained in a vertical line. Using Corollary 3.3 with $a = \mu$ and $\nu = dy$, one obtains that each horizontal line is also preserved by f. The result follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *If $\lambda > n$, and $\mu < 1$ then $f = \mathrm{Id}$.*

Proof. As in the previous lemma, each f-orbit is contained in a vertical line. So, if x is not fixed by f it is recurrent by Lemma 3.4, which is impossible for line homeomorphisms. □

Theorem 4.3. *If $\lambda < 1$, and $\mu < 1$, then $f = \mathrm{Id}$.*

For the proof, we need a series of lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *f is recurrent: $d(f^{n^m}, \mathrm{Id}) \to_m 0$ uniformly.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4,

$$dx(\gamma_x^{n^m}) \to_m 0,$$

and

$$dy(\gamma_x^{n^m}) \to_m 0$$

uniformly. The result follows. □

Lemma 4.5. $\mathrm{Fix}(f) = \mathrm{Fix}(h) = \{(0,0)\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, if $f \neq \mathrm{Id}$, then f has at most one fixed point x (we are extending f to S^2 fixing ∞). But it has exactly one fixed point x by Franks' result 2.2. As the set $\mathrm{Fix}(f)$ is h^{-1}- invariant (Lemma 3.1 item 2.), x must be fixed also by h, which implies that $x = (0,0)$.

□

By the previous lemma, both h and f map the annulus $A = \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{(0,0)\}$ onto itself. So, we have $h, f : A \to A$ satisfying the BS -equation. Moreover, $f|_A$ is isotopic to the identity, as it preserves orientation and boundary components. So, we have well defined curves γ_x for all $x \in A$. We show in the next lemma that every f-orbit must turn infinitely around the annulus. More precisely:

Lemma 4.6. *For all $x \in A$, the angular displacement $d\theta(\gamma_x^{n^m}) \to_m +\infty$ or $d\theta(\gamma_x^{n^m}) \to_m -\infty$.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, $f|_A$ is fixed point free. Take any lift $F : \mathbb{R} \times (0,1) \to \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)$ of $f|_A$. We have to prove that for all $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,1), (F^{n^m}(\tilde{x}))_1 \to_m \infty$, where $()_1$ stands for projection over the first coordinate. Take $x \in A$ and $U \subset A$ a neighbourhood of x such that $p^{-1}(U) = \cup_\alpha U_\alpha$, each U_α projecting homeomorphically onto U, where $p : \mathbb{R} \times (0,1) \to A$ is the universal covering projection. Taking a smaller neighbourhood if necessary, we may assume that $f(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Take $\tilde{U}$ a connected component of $p^{-1}(U)$. As $f^{n^m}(x) \to_m x$ (see Lemma 4.4), for any sufficiently large m, there exists $k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $F^{n^m}(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{U} + k_m$. As $f|_A$ is fixed point free, $k_m \neq 0$ for all m (otherwise F would have a periodic disk chain contradicting Theorem 2.2). Moreover, the sign of k_m is constant for all m (otherwise, F has a fixed point by Theorem 2.3). Again by Theorem 2.3 $k_{m+1} - k_m > 1$ (or $k_{m+1} - k_m < -1$). The result follows.

□

For the rest of the proof we will assume that $\lambda = \mu$. This yields no loss of generality because of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\beta = \log \mu / \log \lambda$, $\mu < \lambda$, and consider the map*

$$\Phi(x,y) = \begin{cases} (x, y^\beta), y \geq 0 \\ (x, -|y|^\beta), y < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, $f_1 = \Phi^{-1} f \Phi$ has bounded displacement.

Proof. Notice that $0 < 1/\beta < 1$ if we take $\mu < \lambda$. From this we can obtain that Φ^{-1} is quasi-Lipschitz, namely that

$$|\Phi^{-1}(p) - \Phi^{-1}(q)| \leq |p - q| + C.$$

Thus we have

$$|f_1(p) - p| = |\Phi^{-1} f \Phi(p) - \Phi^{-1}\Phi(p)| < |f\Phi(p) - \Phi(p)| + C \leq K + C$$

since $|f(q) - q| \leq K$ for all q.

□

Corollary 4.8. *If Theorem 4.3 holds for $\lambda = \mu$, then it holds for $\lambda \neq \mu$.*

Proof. Let $h(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y)$ and $h_1(x, y) = (\lambda x, \mu y)$ and suppose that $h_1 f h_1^{-1} = f^n$. It is straightforward to compute that $h_1 = \Phi h \Phi^{-1}$ and that $h\Phi^{-1} f \Phi h^{-1} = (\Phi^{-1} f \Phi)^n$. Moreover, by the previous lemma $f_1 = \Phi^{-1} f \Phi$ has bounded displacement (we suppose without loss of generality that $\mu < \lambda$). So, by hypothesis $\Phi^{-1} f \Phi = \mathrm{Id}$ which implies $f = \mathrm{Id}$.

□

Lemma 4.9. *There exists $F, H : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}$ lifts of f and h respectively such that $HFH^{-1} = F^n$.*

Proof. We consider the compactification of the plane with a circle of directions. As $\mu = \lambda$, h extends to this compactification as the identity on the boundary. Moreover, f extends as the identity on the boundary of this same compactification on account of the bounded displacement hipothesis. So, we may take lifts $F, H : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1]$ such that both F and H are the identity on $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. Now, HFH^{-1} and F^n are both lifts of the same map f^n and therefore they must be equal as they coincide on $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$.

□

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.3:

Proof. The previous lemma, there exists $F, H : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}$ lifts of f and h respectively such that $HFH^{-1} = F^n$. So, for all m and all $x \in A$, the arcs $\gamma_x^{n^m}$ and $h^m(\gamma_{h^{-m}x})$ are homotopic in A. This implies that for all m, $d\theta(\gamma_x^{n^m}) = d\theta(h^m(\gamma_{h^{-m}x})) = d\theta(\gamma_{h^{-m}x}) \leq C$, contradicting lemma 4.6. Note that we are using $\lambda = \mu$ for the $d\theta$- invariance of h.

□

5 Examples

As we have seen in the previous section, if neither μ or λ are strictly greater than 1 and less or equal than n, then there is no faithful action of $BS(1, n)$ with $h(x, y) = (\mu x, \lambda y)$.

In this section we construct examples of such actions when $1 < \lambda \leq n$. The case where $1 < \mu \leq n$ is analogous.

5.1 Product actions

Recall that $BS(1,n) = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^n \rangle$. In general, if $\varphi : BS(1,n) \to Homeo_+(\mathbb{R})$ is a faithful action on the real line, then we can obtain a faithful action of $BS(1,n)$ on the plane by defining, for $g \in BS(1,n)$,

$$\psi(g)(x,y) = (\varphi'(g)(x), \varphi(g)(y)),$$

where φ' is any (non necessarily faithful) $BS(1,n)$ action on the line. This is the *product action* of φ and φ'. Clearly, $\psi(b)$ above has bounded displacement if and only if $\varphi(b)$ and $\varphi'(b)$ have bounded displacements.

In order to obtain an action on the plane in which $\psi(a)$ is linear, we need to restrict our attention to actions on the line where $\varphi(a)$ is an affine map fixing 0. That is, $\varphi(a)(x) = \lambda x$.

When $\lambda = n$, then the affine action of $BS(1,n)$ on the line is a faithful action in which the map $\varphi(b)(x) = x+1$ has bounded displacement. Hence, the maps $h(x,y) = (\mu x, ny)$ and $f(x,y) = (x, y+1)$ provide an example of a a faithful planar $BS(1,n)$-action. The same holds for $f(x,y) = (x, y+c(x))$ for any continuous and bounded function c that is not identically zero.

If $\lambda < n$, one may try to conjugate the affine action above by an homeomorphism of the line of the form $c : x \mapsto x^\alpha$, so that $c \circ \varphi(a) \circ c^{-1}(x) = nx$. The problem is that then the corresponding $\varphi(b)$ does not have bounded displacement (this is easy, and we leave it to the reader). So in this case we need to consider a different action on the line. According to [R], up to semi-conjugacy, there is only one other candidate, which we now describe.

Let $\varphi(a)(x) = \lambda x$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the *fundamental domains* $D_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda^n \leq x < \lambda^{n+1}\}$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Homeo_+(D_0)$ be a continous homomorphic embedding. Assume for a while that ϕ satisfies

(†) There is $K > 0$ such that $n|\,\phi(1/n)(x) - x| \leq K$ for all $x \in D_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $x \in D_0$ we define $\varphi(b)(x) = \phi(1)(x)$. For $x \in D_k$, we define $\varphi(b)(x) = \varphi(a^k) \circ \phi(1/n^k) \circ \varphi(a^{-k})(x)$. This ensures that φ extends to a representation of $BS(1,n)$ into $Homeo_+(\mathbb{R})$, that is in fact faithful (see for instance [R]). Further, $\varphi(b)$ has bounded displacements. Indeed, for $x \in D_k$, $k > 0$ (as $\varphi(b)$ fixes each D_k, the case of $x \in D_k$ for $k \leq 0$ is analogous), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(b)(x) - x| &= |\varphi(a^k) \circ \phi(1/n^k) \circ \varphi(a^{-k})(x) - x| \\ &= |\varphi(a^k) \circ \phi(1/n^k)(x_0) - \varphi(a^k)(x_0)| \\ &= \lambda^k |\phi(1/n^k)(x_0) - x_0| \\ &\leq n^k |\phi(1/n^k)(x_0) - x_0| \leq K, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_0 \in D_0$ is defined by $\varphi(a^k)(x_0) = x$. Then, to produce a planar $BS(1,n)$ action, is enough to consider the maps

$$h(x,y) = (\mu x, \lambda y)\,,\ f(x,y) = (x, \varphi(b)(y)).$$

To finish the construction, we only need to exhibit an $\mathbb{R}$ action on D_0 satisfying (†). This can be done by taking ϕ as the flow of a C^1 vector field X on $\bar{D}_0 = [1, \lambda]$ with $X(1) = X(\lambda) = 0$. Let $K = \max |X|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n|\phi(1/n)(x) - x| &= n\left|\int_0^{1/n} X(\phi(s)(x))ds\right| \\ &\leq n\int_0^{1/n} |X(\phi(s)(x))|ds \leq nK\frac{1}{n} = K. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we can choose X to be non-zero on the interior of D_0, so that the flow ϕ is conjugate to a translation on D_0.

5.2 Strange rotations

First we consider the case where $h(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y)$ (i.e. when $\lambda = \mu \in (1, n]$). Let $\alpha = \log_\lambda n = \log n / \log \lambda$. Define f by the following formula in polar coordinates:

$$f(r, \theta) = (r, \theta + r^{-\alpha}).$$

Observe this is continuous, though clearly not differentiable at the origin. To show it has bounded displacement, recall that in polar coordinates we have $\text{dist}((r, \theta_1), (r, \theta_2)) \leq r \cdot |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$. Then

$$|f(p) - p| \leq r \cdot r^{-\alpha} = r^{1-\alpha}$$

Since $\lambda \leq n$, we have $\alpha \geq 1$, so when $r \geq 1$ the above gives us $|f(p)-p| \leq 1$. When $r \leq 1$ we have $|f(p) - p| < 2$, for f preserves the unit disk.

It is also easy to verify the Baumslag-Solitar relation:

$$\begin{aligned} hfh^{-1}(r, \theta) &= hf(\lambda^{-1}r, \theta) \\ &= h(\lambda^{-1}r, \theta + (\lambda^{-1}r)^{-\alpha}) \\ &= (r, \theta + \lambda^\alpha r^{-\alpha}) \\ &= (r, \theta + nr^{-\alpha}) = f^n(r, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

This example follows the spirit of the construction in §5.1, where the fundamental domains would be $D_n := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \lambda^n \leq ||(x, y)||_2 < \lambda^{n+1}\}$. Still, in this case we obtain a genuinely two dimendional action.

Now we will adjust this example to work in the general case, so let $h_1(x, y) = (\lambda x, \mu y)$ with $1 < \mu < \lambda \leq n$. Consider $\beta = \log_\lambda \mu = \log \mu / \log \lambda$, and the map $\Phi(x, y) = (x, y^\beta)$. It is straightforward to compute that $h_1 = \Phi h \Phi^{-1}$, so we will just conjugate our previous example by Φ.

It remains to check that $f_1 = \Phi f \Phi^{-1}$ has bounded displacement. Notice that $0 < \beta < 1$, since $\mu < \lambda$. The result then follows from Lemma 4.7.

6 Aplications to toral homeomorphisms

We will use Theorem 3 in [GL1]:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\langle f, h\rangle$ be a faithful action of* $\mathrm{BS}(1,n)$ *on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Then there exists a positive integer k such that f^k is isotopic to the identity and has a lift whose rotation set is the single point $\{(0,0)\}$. Moreover, the set of f^k-fixed points is non-empty.*

If the action is faithful, the group $\langle f^k, h\rangle$ is isomorphic to $BS(1,n)$. Then, the previous theorem allows us to restrict our study to the case where f is isotopic to identity. Moreover, f has a lift $\tilde{f}$ to the universal covering space such that the rotation set is $\{(0,0)\}$ and that $\mathrm{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$. We say that $\tilde{f}$ is the *irrotational lift* for f.

The following lemma was proved in [AGX].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the irrotational lift of f, and $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be any lift of h. Then, the* BS *equation holds for $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{h}$, that is*

$$\tilde{h}\tilde{f}\tilde{h}^{-1} = \tilde{f}^n.$$

As a corollary, we get a new proof of a result already found in [AGX]:

Theorem 6.3. *There are no faithful representations of $BS(1,n)$ by toral homeomorphisms with h Anosov with stretch factor $\lambda > n$.*

Proof. By lemma 6.2, the action lifts to the plane satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2. The result follows.

□

References

[AGX] J. Alonso, N. Guelman, J.Xavier.Actions of solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups on surfaces with (pseudo-)Anosov elements Disc.Cont.Dyn.Sys, 35(5), 2015.

[BS] G. Baumslag, D. Solitar. Some two generator one-relator non-Hopfian groups Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. , 689 (1962) pp. 199-201

[F] D. Fisher. Groups acting on manifolds: Around the Zimmer program. Geometry, Rigidity, and Group Actions (2011), 72-157.

[F] J. Franks.Generalizations of the Poincarè-Birkhoff Theorem Annals of Mathematics, 128 (1), 139-151, 1988.

[FH] J.Franks, M. Handel. Distortion elements in group actions on surfaces. Duke Math. J. Volume 131, Number 3 (2006), 397-591

[GL1] N. Guelman, I. Liousse. Actions of Baumslag-Solitar groups on surfaces. Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys. Vol. 33, No 5 (2013) 1945-1964.

[GL2] N. Guelman, I. Liousse. Any Baumslag-Solitar action on surfaces with a pseudo-Anosov element has a finite orbit. Preprint.

[KP] B. Kolev, M. Peorueme.Recurrent surface homeomorphisms Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 124(1):161-168, 1998.

[LeC] P. Le Calvez. Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms of surfaces. Duke Math. J. 133 (2006), no. 1, 125–184.

[R] C. Rivas. On spaces of Conradian group orderings. J. of Group Theory, 13 (3), 337-353, 2010.

[Z] R. Zimmer Actions of semisimple groups and discrete subgroups Proc. Internat. Congr. Math. (Berkeley 1986), Vol 2